



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,341	11/30/1999	KEN BURROUGHS	TKMA.65581	8911

7590 12/21/2001

WILLIAM B KIRCHER  
SHOOK HARDY & BACON LLP  
ONE KANSAS CITY PLACE  
1200 MAIN STREET  
KANSAS CITY, MO 641052118

EXAMINER

ROWAN, KURT C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3643

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001

#11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/451,341

Applicant(s)

BURROUGHS et al.

Examiner

KURT ROWAN

Group Art Unit

3643



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KURT ROWAN

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Dan Deavers

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 20, 2001Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: proposed fax

Identification of prior art discussed:

Hobson, SplickanAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr Deavers will file an RCE. Mr Deavers and the examiner discussed the invention and what limitations would overcome the art of record. The examiner stated that the prior art applied did not show a horizontal baffle having a discharge tube between the baffle and the top of the livewell tank, but that these limitations were new issues and would have to be researched. Mr. Deavers agreed and also discussed filing new drawings to better show the invention to which the examiner concurred.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

KURT ROWAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3643